



The Dallas City Council Voted in 1965 to Add Fluoride to its Water. They Must Vote to Stop it. WHAT CAN YOU DO? Get Informed and Speak Up.

Highland Park and University Park have **never** added fluoride or fluoride product to their water. They have a separate water system apart from Dallas.

There are many surrounding cities that contract with Dallas to supply "fluoridated" water to their citizens. These include; Addison, Balch Springs, Carrollton, Cedar Hill, Cockrell Hill, Combine WSC, Coppell, DFW International Airport, Desoto, Duncanville, Ellis County WCID #1, Farmers Branch, Flower Mound, Glenn Heights, Grand Prairie, Hutchins, Irving, Lancaster, Lewisville, Ovilla, Red Oak, Seagoville, The Colony

SEND THE DALLAS CITY COUNCIL A MESSAGE

Dallas City Council

To address the Dallas City Council and Mayor during the Open Mic segment of their regular weekly meetings you must call the city secretary at **214-670-3738**. The best time is Thursday mornings at 8:15 following the Wednesday meeting. "Morning speakers" must reside in Dallas. Open Mic speakers begin shortly after meeting begins at 9:00 am on Wednesdays.

http://citysecretary2.dallascityhall.com/pdf/council_calendar.pdf

<https://dallascityhall.com/government/citysecretary/Pages/CCrules.aspx>

Please contact the City Secretary's Office if you have any questions on the Speaker Registration Guidelines at 214-670-3738 or citysecretary@dallascityhall.com.

This email address goes out to full city council members and the mayor: councilmembers@dallascityhall.com

DALLAS FOR SAFER WATER – A comprehensive website with detailed coverage of our effort:
<https://www.dallasforsaferwater.com>

FLUORIDE ACTION NETWORK: <https://fluoridealert.org>

69 Reasons to Oppose Adding Fluoride to Water: <https://truthaboutfluoride.com/reasons-to-oppose-fluoridation>

WHAT IS FLUORIDATION?

Water fluoridation is the practice of adding industrial grade fluoride chemicals to water for the purpose of preventing tooth decay. One of the little known facts about this practice is that the United States, which fluoridates over 70% of its water supplies, has more people drinking fluoridated water than the rest of the world combined. Most developed nations, including all of Japan and 97% of western nations, do not fluoridate their water.

In the United States, the Oral Health Division of the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) hails fluoridation as one of the "top ten public health achievements of the 20th century". However, comprehensive data from the World Health Organization (WHO) reveals that there is no discernible difference in tooth decay between the minority of western nations that fluoridate water, and the majority that do not. In fact, the tooth decay rates in many non-fluoridated countries are now lower than the tooth decay rates in fluoridated ones.

As is becoming increasingly clear, fluoridating water supplies is an outdated, unnecessary, and dangerous relic from a 1950's public health culture that viewed mass distribution of chemicals much differently than scientists do today. The few nations that still fluoridate their water should end the practice.



50 Reasons to End Fluoridation

- 1) Fluoride is the only chemical added to water for the purpose of medical treatment.
- 2) Fluoridation is unethical.
- 3) The dose cannot be controlled.
- 4) The fluoride goes to everyone regardless of age, health or vulnerability.
- 5) People now receive fluoride from many other sources besides water.
- 6) Fluoride is not an essential nutrient.
- 7) The level in mothers' milk is very low.
- 8) Fluoride accumulates in the body.
- 9) No health agency in fluoridated countries is monitoring fluoride exposure or side effects.
- 10) There has never been a single randomized controlled trial to demonstrate fluoridation's effectiveness or safety.
- 11) Benefit is topical not systemic.
- 12) Fluoridation is not necessary.
- 13) Fluoridation's role in the decline of tooth decay is in serious doubt.
- 14) NIH-funded study on individual fluoride ingestion and tooth decay found no significant correlation.
- 15) Tooth decay is high in low-income communities that have been fluoridated for years.
- 16) Tooth decay does not go up when fluoridation is stopped.
- 17) Tooth decay was coming down before fluoridation started.
- 18) The studies that launched fluoridation were methodologically flawed.
- 19) Children are being over-exposed to fluoride.
- 20) The highest doses of fluoride are going to bottle-fed babies.
- 21) Dental fluorosis may be an indicator of wider systemic damage.
- 23) Fluoride may lower IQ.
- 24) Fluoride may cause non-IQ neurotoxic effects.
- 25) Fluoride affects the pineal gland.
- 26) Fluoride affects thyroid function.
- 27) Fluoride causes arthritic symptoms.
- 28) Fluoride damages bone.
- 29) Fluoride may increase hip fractures in the elderly.
- 30) People with impaired kidney function are particularly vulnerable to bone damage.
- 31) Fluoride may cause bone cancer (osteosarcoma).
- 32) Proponents have failed to refute the Bassin-Osteosarcoma study.
- 33) Fluoride may cause reproductive problems.
- 34) Some individuals are highly sensitive to low levels of fluoride.
- 35) Other subsets of the population are more vulnerable to fluoride's toxicity.
- 36) There is no margin of safety for several health effects.
- 37) Low-income families penalized by fluoridation.
- 38) Black and Hispanic children are more vulnerable to fluoride's toxicity.
- 39) Minorities are not being warned about their vulnerabilities to fluoride.
- 40) Tooth decay reflects low-income not low-fluoride intake.
- 41) The chemicals used to fluoridate water are not pharmaceutical grade.
- 42) The silicon fluorides have not been tested comprehensively.
- 43) The silicon fluorides may increase lead uptake into children's blood.
- 44) Fluoride may leach lead from pipes, brass fittings and soldered joints.
- 45) Key health studies have not been done.
- 46) Endorsements do not represent scientific evidence.
- 47) Review panels hand-picked to deliver a pro-fluoridation result.
- 48) Many scientists oppose fluoridation.
- 49) Proponents usually refuse to defend fluoridation in open debate.
- 50) Proponents use very dubious tactics to promote fluoridation.

LULAC: Civil Rights Violation Regarding Forced Medication

WHEREAS, the League of United Latin American Citizens is this nation's oldest and largest Latino organization, founded in Corpus Christi, Texas on February 17, 1929; and

WHEREAS, LULAC throughout its history has committed itself to the principles that Latinos have equal access to opportunities in employment, education, housing and healthcare; and

WHEREAS, LULAC advocates for the well-being of, but not exclusively of, Hispanics throughout our country; and

WHEREAS, safe drinking water is a necessity for life; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of a public water supply is to supply water to the entire community which is composed of people with varying health conditions, in varying stages of life, and of varying economic status; not to forcibly mass medicate the population which is a civil rights violation; and

WHEREAS, fluoridation is mass medication of the public through the public water supply; and

WHEREAS, current science shows that fluoridation chemicals pose increased risk to sensitive subpopulations, including infants, the elderly, diabetics, kidney patients, and people with poor nutritional status; and

WHEREAS, minority communities are more highly impacted by fluorides as they historically experience more diabetes and kidney disease; and

WHEREAS, minorities are disproportionately harmed by fluorides as documented by increased rates of dental fluorosis (disfiguration and discoloration of the teeth); and

WHEREAS, the National Research Council in 2006 established that there are large gaps in the research on fluoride's effects on the whole body; a fact that contradicts previous assurances made by public health officials and by elected officials, that fluorides and fluoridation have been exhaustively researched; and

WHEREAS, a growing number of cities and health professionals have rejected fluoridation based on current science and the recognition of a person's right to choose what goes into his/her body; and

WHEREAS, the CDC now recommends that non-fluoridated water be used for infant formula (if parents want to avoid dental fluorosis – a permanent mottling and staining of teeth), which creates an economic hardship for large numbers of families, minority and otherwise; and

WHEREAS, the League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), founded in 1929, has historically been a champion of the disenfranchised and a leader in the fight for social and environmental justice; and

WHEREAS, City Council Districts I-6 of San Antonio (predominantly minority districts) voted overwhelmingly that the public water supply should not be contaminated with fluoridation chemicals; and

WHEREAS, the election to fluoridate the water, essentially disenfranchised the right of these minority Districts to safe drinking water for all; and

WHEREAS, the U.S. Health and Human Services and the EPA (January 2011) have recently affirmed the NRC Study results that citizens may be ingesting too much fluoride and that the exposure is primarily from drinking water; and

WHEREAS, the proponents of fluoridation promised a safe and effective dental health additive, but the San Antonio Water System's (SAWS) contract for fluoridation chemicals proves a "bait and switch"; as SAWS is adding the toxic waste by-product of the phosphate fertilizer industry, that has no warranty for its safety and effectiveness for any purpose from the supplier (PENCCO, Inc.) or the source (Mosaic Chemical); and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that LULAC commends efforts by organizations that oppose forced mass medication of the public drinking supplies using fluorides that are industrial grade, toxic waste by-products which contain contaminants (arsenic, lead, mercury) which further endanger life; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that LULAC supports efforts by all citizens working to stop forced medication through the public water system because it violates civil rights; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that LULAC opposes the public policy of fluoridation because it fails to meet legislative intent; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that LULAC demands to know why government agencies entrusted with protecting the public health are more protective of the policy of fluoridation than they are of public health.

Approved this 1st day of July 2011.

Margaret Moran

LULAC National President